



COUNTRY
BACKGROUND
SERIES

Egypt

The U.S.-Egypt relationship is strategically important for both countries: to Egypt because of U.S. aid, and to the United States because of Egypt's support of American regional objectives. Though the U.S. has generally tolerated Egypt's repressive government, the Bush administration began pushing for democratic reform after the September 11th terrorist attacks. Yet when tentative democratic openings led to political gains by Islamists, the regime of President Hosni Mubarak launched a crackdown on the Egyptian opposition, arresting thousands. The government has recently curtailed opposition activity and civil liberties with regressive constitutional amendments. In response to a worsening political situation, efforts to make aid to Egypt conditional on reform have gained momentum in the House of Representatives, but have stalled in the Senate. With Congress's stance on aid in doubt, and with the Egyptian regime staking its future on heavy-handed tactics, U.S. policy toward Egypt is entering a critical moment.

I. General Interest

Since the 1979 Camp David Accords cemented friendly U.S.-Egypt relations, leaders of both countries have cooperated on regional initiatives, and acknowledge similar goals of resolving the Palestinian-Israeli conflict, encouraging economic modernization, fighting terrorism, and ensuring regional stability. The relationship between the two countries is anchored by a \$1.7 billion annual [aid¹ package](#),² making Egypt the second-largest recipient of foreign assistance.

Egypt is not a major U.S. trading partner, importing only \$3.6 billion of American goods and exporting \$882 million to the United States annually. However, U.S. use of the Suez Canal alone makes continued ties with Egypt essential; the canal is a major thoroughway for the region's energy exports. More recently, Egypt has become a test case for [limited free trade zones](#)³ that allow unfettered access to American markets for Egyptian goods that require Israeli inputs. Egypt has also been actively involved in U.S. counter-terrorism operations in the region, serving as a major site for extraordinary rendition of terrorist suspects.

Despite modest political and economic reforms since the 1970s, Egypt, rated not free by the Freedom House index, has retained [autocratic rule and significant limits on civil liberties](#).⁴ In light of slow growth and increasing economic troubles, the ability of the Egyptian regime to sustain itself in the face of increasing popular dissatisfaction is unclear. The country's long-term stability will likely hinge on its ability and willingness to effectively address citizen demands through a sustained process of economic and political reform.

II. Overview of U.S.-Egypt Relations

A group of military officers led by Gamal Abdel Nasser dubbed the Free Officers overthrew the Egyptian monarchy in 1952. Eager to counter Soviet influence in the region, the U.S. voiced support for the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I.
[GENERAL INTEREST](#)
- II.
[OVERVIEW OF U.S.-EGYPT RELATIONS](#)
- III.
[MAJOR OPPOSITION GROUPS](#)
- IV.
[POLITICAL REFORM](#)
- V.
[CONGRESSIONAL POLICY AND
FOREIGN ASSISTANCE](#)

new government. In 1954, President Nasser appealed to the U.S. to fund the construction of the Aswan High Dam. When the U.S. refused, Nasser turned to the Soviet Union, marking the beginning of an increasingly close Egypt-Soviet relationship. Anwar el-Sadat, Nasser's successor, re-oriented Egypt toward the West after the 1973 war with Israel. Since the signing of Camp David in 1979, Egypt has remained a close U.S. ally, allowing it to pursue repressive policies at home with little U.S. criticism. This arrangement only began to change after the September 11th attacks.

In 2004-5, the Bush administration prioritized democratic reform in its relationship with Egypt. During the height of the administration's forward strategy of freedom, Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice canceled a scheduled trip to Cairo to protest the imprisonment of Ayman Nour, a leading liberal figure and head of the al-Ghad Party. Responding in part to American pressure, in February 2005, President Mubarak announced that Egypt would hold multi-candidate presidential elections for the first time. Encouraged by the changing atmosphere, major opposition groups became more active. Later that year, Rice

COUNTRY BACKGROUNDER SERIES: **Egypt**

visited Egypt in June and gave a major policy speech on the importance of democratic reform, and met with leading opposition figures afterwards.

However, American enthusiasm for political reform soon soured. The Muslim Brotherhood (MB) won 88 of the 454 seats in the 2005 parliamentary elections, becoming Egypt's largest opposition bloc, and raising fears in Washington that increased Islamist influence would undermine vital U.S. interests in the region.

III. Major Opposition Groups

Islamist Groups

Founded in 1928, the Muslim Brotherhood (MB) quickly became the region's most influential Islamist movement. In the years leading up to the 1952 revolution, the Brotherhood cooperated with Nasser's Free Officers. However, as the newly-instituted military regime moved toward secular rule, the relationship soured, culminating in a crackdown that landed much of the MB leadership in jail. In the 1970s under President Sadat, many MB members were released from prison, and the group was allowed to operate with greater freedom. Since then, the Egyptian government has maintained a fluctuating relationship with the Brotherhood. Despite being officially banned, the MB maintains a high political profile, and is easily the most powerful opposition group in Egypt. Since the [1984 parliamentary elections](#),⁵ MB members have contested elections either as independents, or as part of coalitions with legal parties.

MUSLIM BROTHERHOOD REPRESENTATION IN PARLIAMENT (# OF SEATS)

1984	1987	1990	1996	2000	2005
8	37	0 (BOYCOTT)	1	17	88

In 2005, the MB increased their share of seats five-fold (from 17 to 88), in an election that although flawed was [more transparent and fair than previous contests](#).⁶ The Brotherhood's growing influence has provoked the ire of the ruling National Democratic Party (NDP). Although [some analysts](#)⁷ argue that inclusion in the political process has had a moderating effect on the Brotherhood, Egyptian officials, and some Western observers, argue that an empowered MB would result in the [implementation of harsh Islamic law](#)⁸ and support for the terrorist groups. Brotherhood leaders reject these allegations, pointing out that the group formally renounced violence in the 1970s and remains commit-

ted to achieving its goals peacefully. They assert that they are committed to the rules of the democratic game, and, increasingly, their electoral programs have [used pro-democracy language](#).⁹ There is an [ongoing debate](#)¹⁰ over whether this movement toward moderation reflects a strategic transformation or merely a tactical and therefore temporary shift.

Secular Groups

Since President Sadat introduced a controlled multi-party system in 1976, secular parties have been allowed to participate, albeit within the regime's limits. Initially, the New Wafd party looked poised to become the ruling party's main challenger. However, after performing well in the 1984 and 1987 elections, the Wafd's influence declined significantly.

Today, the Al-Ghad (Tomorrow) party, founded in 2004, is Egypt's best-known secular party. Led by Ayman Nour, Al-Ghad has emphasized the need for governmental accountability, greater human rights, and fair elections. In early 2005, Nour was detained on charges widely considered to be politically motivated. American and international pressure briefly forced Nour's release, but he was imprisoned again in December of the same year. Since then, there have been few sustained efforts to secure his release. Kifaya (Enough) emerged in late 2004 to oppose the succession of President Mubarak's son, Gamal. However, Kifaya's internal diversity — it includes secular, liberal, and Islamist activists — has made it an unwieldy force lacking a unified vision. Though it organizes regular protests, the group has neither fielded any candidates for elections nor endorsed any party. The group is now led by Abdel Wahab el-Mesiri, regarded as one of Egypt's foremost Islamic intellectuals.

Support for Kifaya and al-Ghad is confined to a narrow sector of Egyptian society. Demonstrations organized by secular groups usually number in the low hundreds (Brotherhood-organized protests can often reach tens of thousands). Recent studies such as [this one](#)¹¹ have highlighted the problem, and offered recommendations for strengthening secular parties.

IV. Political Reform

Perceptions of Political Reform and U.S. Democracy Promotion

While popular opposition to the regime remains strong, prospects for political reform are dim. Although increased U.S. pressure resulted in limited progress towards greater political freedom in 2005, the Bush

COUNTRY BACKGROUNDER SERIES: **Egypt**

administration, subsumed by other regional concerns, has since backed off from pressuring the Egyptian regime.

In Egypt, popular opinion of the United States has grown increasingly negative. Today, only 15% of Egyptians have a favorable view of the U.S.,¹² a significant drop from 2002. Egyptians continue to cite American support for Mubarak as a major grievance. Wael Abbas, a prominent Egyptian blogger, wrote¹³ recently in *The Washington Post*, "We Egyptians want a fair struggle for our freedom. We'll never have it as long as Mubarak and his corrupt regime are propped up by U.S. aid. The Muslim Brotherhood has also appealed¹⁴ to American policymakers to exert more pressure on Egypt's leaders. According to a 2006 poll, only 22% of Egyptians have a favorable view of American democracy promotion efforts, while 25% believe that the U.S. is sincere about supporting democracy abroad. Broadly-speaking, however, Egyptians have long desired a more democratic system of government. According to the World Values Survey,¹⁵ 67.9% of Egyptians believe democracy is a very good way to govern Egypt (as compared with 52.4% of Americans who believe democracy is a very good way to govern the U.S.). Despite a widespread desire for political change, the regime has maintained power by cultivating a culture of apathy. An extensive security apparatus, coupled with successful regime efforts to co-opt or malign opposition leaders, has discouraged Egyptians from political participation.

Political Institutions

The current Egyptian constitution, adopted in 1971, ensures the superiority of the executive branch,¹⁶ which effectively has the final say over all legislation. Articles 147 and 148 allow the President to rule by unilateral decree, and to impose a state of emergency that drastically curtails individual freedoms. At the same time, the constitution grants the judiciary branch substantial autonomy. The Court of Cassation (the equivalent of the U.S. Supreme Court), which can investigate the legitimacy of electoral returns, provides a significant check on executive manipulation of the legislature. However, the judiciary has come under attack in recent years, with the NDP either ignoring court rulings, or attempting to stack the judiciary with its supporters.

The Egyptian constitution has been amended several times. Most recently, in what Amnesty

International called¹⁷ the greatest erosion of human rights in 26 years, the government passed through the legislature sweeping changes to the constitution.

Amendments to 34 articles were ratified in a referendum plagued by violent clashes, low turnout, and an opposition boycott. The amendments represented an effort to legally enshrine the executive branch's vast powers.¹⁸ Changes to Article 179¹⁹ permanently extend the emergency laws that have been in place since 1981. The new changes grant security

forces broad discretion to detain suspects for political reasons and prosecute dissidents in military courts. Furthermore, the amendments dramatically reduce independent judicial supervision of elections (Article 88), and, in what appears to be an effort to undermine the Muslim Brotherhood, Article 5 now expressly forbids the formation of religiously-oriented political parties.

Prospects for Reform

Kifaya staged numerous demonstrations during the row over the constitution. The Muslim Brotherhood parliamentary bloc succeeded in persuading over 100 members of parliament to boycott the final vote. Because of these efforts, opposition activists are under increasing threat of being detained, and hundreds have already been jailed. Amidst the Egyptian government's latest crackdown on the opposition, the Bush administration has downplayed the crisis. Condoleezza Rice remarked²⁰ that recent events were merely the natural ebb and flow of democratization, and State Department spokesman Sean McCormack noted that a process of political reform has begun in Egypt and that you have to put this in the wider context.

V. Congressional Policy and Foreign Assistance

Key Legislation

Historically, Congress has been reticent to withhold aid from Egypt. But since 9/11, there have been several attempts to include conditions to the aid package. One notable example was an amendment²¹ to the 2007 Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Act proposed by Rep. David Obey (D-WI) that would have transferred \$100 million in military aid slated for Egypt to Darfur support efforts. It was defeated in a close 225-198 vote.

"... only 22% of Egyptians have a favorable view of American democracy promotion efforts."

COUNTRY BACKGROUNDER SERIES: **Egypt**

In June 2007, the House of Representatives passed the 2008 Foreign Operations [appropriations act](#),²² granting \$1.3 billion in Foreign Military Financing (FMF) to Egypt with a provision making \$200 million of this aid conditional on judicial reform, curbing police abuses, and destroying smuggling tunnels from Egypt to Gaza. After resolving amendments from the Senate which has generally been more supportive of Egypt aid the figure was reduced to \$100 million in the [final bill](#),²³ which was passed on December 18, 2007. Furthermore, while the House had provided \$50 million of the total in \$415 million Economic Support funds to go towards democracy, human rights, and governance programs, the final amount ended up being \$20 million. For 2008, the Economic Support funds are given only with the understanding that Egypt will undertake significant economic and democratic reforms beyond those of previous years. However, this clause provides no enforcement mechanism.

Also of note is a bill proposed by Rep. Anthony Weiner (D-NY) entitled the Egyptian Counterterrorism and Political Reform Act ([H.R.2977](#)²⁴), which would have transferred all military assistance to Egypt to Economic Support Funds, while noting several areas of concern including the Egyptian government's widespread use of torture, encouragement of anti-American and anti-Israeli attitudes, and failure to protect the rights of the Coptic Christian minority. The bill gained no traction and was never considered by the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, but there is some potential that such legislation may gain support in the years ahead.

Policy Outlook

Critics of aid conditionality argue that withholding aid would hurt the U.S.-Egypt relationship a critical time and [harm American strategic interests in the region](#).²⁵ They point to Mubarak's support of the Arab-Israeli peace process and logistical support for American troops in Iraq. The need to rally regional support for U.S. objectives in Iraq and Iran has highlighted the importance of having reliable Arab allies. Proponents of aid conditionality counter that Egypt's contribution to U.S. regional objectives is overstated, and that democracy promotion would [better serve long-term U.S. goals](#).²⁶ They point to the fact that Egypt's [peace treaty](#)²⁷ with Israel is a frigid one characterized by formal diplomatic ties but little else, and that the Mubarak regime has encouraged anti-Semitism to deflect attention from domestic concerns. Although Egypt has made contributions to U.S. regional efforts, cutting aid would not necessarily discourage this cooperation.

In fact, under American pressure, Egypt may feel the need to better demonstrate its utility to the U.S., out of fear of additional aid cuts.

Underlying this policy debate is the question of stability. There remains no institutional mechanism for succession. President Mubarak is 79 and has been plagued by increasing health problems. He refuses to appoint a vice-president. Popular feelings against the likely succession of his son, Gamal, are high, and such an attempt could result in a difficult period of instability with far-reaching implications for the United States.

COUNTRY BACKGROUNDER SERIES: Egypt

Notes

- 1 Jeremy M. Sharp, Egypt: Background and U.S. Relations, CRS Report for Congress. <http://opencrs.com/getlife.php?rid=62476>
- 2 USAID Egypt program homepage. <http://egypt.usaid.gov/>
- 3 Vikash Yadav, The Political Economy of the Egyptian-Israeli QIZ Trade Agreement, Middle East Review of International Affairs, March 2007. <http://meria.idc.ac.il/journal/2007/issue1/Yadav.pdf>
- 4 Denis J. Sullivan, Countries at the Crossroads 2007: Country Report Egypt, Freedom House. <http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=140&edition=8&ccrpage=37&ccrcountry=154>
- 5 Judith Miller, Viable Opposition Parties Are Emerging in Egypt, *New York Times*, January 8, 1984. <http://select.nytimes.com/gst/abstract.html?res=F50B10FF3B5C0C7B8CDDA80894DC484D81>
- 6 Final Report: 2005 Presidential Election Assessment in Egypt, International Republican Institute. <http://www.iri.org/mena/egypt/pdfs/Egypt's%202005%20Presidential%20Election%20Assessment%20Report.pdf>
- 7 Robert Leiken and Steven Brooke, The Moderate Muslim Brotherhood, *Foreign Affairs*, March/April 2007. <http://www.nixoncenter.org/publications/LeikenBrookeMB.pdf>
- 8 Abdel Monem Said Aly, Understanding the Muslim Brothers in Egypt, Crown Center for Middle East Studies, December 2007. <http://www.brandeis.edu/crown/publications1/meb/MEB23.pdf>
- 9 Shadi Hamid, Parting the Veil, *Democracy: A Journal of Ideas*, Summer 2007. <http://www.democracyjournal.org/pdf/5/Hamid.pdf>
- 10 Amr Hamzawy, Marina Ottaway, and Nathan J. Brown. What Islamists Needs to be Clear About: The Case of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood. Carnegie Endowment, February 2007. http://www.carnegieendowment.org/files/ottaway_brown_hamzawy_islamists_final.pdf
- 11 Marina Ottaway and Amr Hamzawy, Fighting on Two Fronts: Secular Parties in the Arab World, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, May 2007. http://www.carnegieendowment.org/files/cp85_secular_final.pdf
- 12 Five Nation Survey of the Middle East, Zogby International/Arab American Institute, December 2006. http://aai.3cdn.net/96d8eeaec55ef4c217_m9m6b97wo.pdf
- 13 Wael Abbas, Help Our Fight for Real Democracy, *Washington Post*, May 27, 2007. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/05/25/AR2007052502024.html>
- 14 Issandr el-Amrani, "Do what is necessary," *The Arabist*. <http://arabist.net/archives/2007/02/07/do-what-is-necessary>
- 15 Mark Tessler, Do Islamic Orientations Influence Attitudes Toward Democracy in the Arab World? Evidence from Egypt, Jordan, Morocco, and Algeria, World Values Survey. http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/Upload/5_TessIslamDem_2.pdf
- 16 Arab Political Systems: Baseline Information and Reforms Egypt, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. http://www.carnegieendowment.org/files/Egypt_APS.doc
- 17 Egypt: Proposed Constitutional Amendments Greatest Erosion of Human Rights in 26 Years, Amnesty International Press Release, March 18, 2007. <http://news.amnesty.org/index/ENGMDE120082007>
- 18 Nathan J. Brown and Michele Dunne, Egypt's Controversial Constitutional Amendments: A Textual Analysis, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace web commentary, March 23, 2007. http://www.carnegieendowment.org/files/egypt_constitution_webcommentary01.pdf
- 19 Andrew Exum and Zack Snyder, Democracy Demotion in Egypt: Is the United States a Willing Accomplice? Washington Institute for Near East Policy, March 23, 2007. <http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/templateC05.php?CID=2582>
- 20 Press Roundtable Interview: Secretary Condoleezza Rice, Washington DC, March 3, 2007, U.S. Department of State Transcript. <http://www.state.gov/secretary/rm/2007/mar/82158.htm>
- 21 H.AMDT.980, amends H.R. 5522, Library of Congress, Thomas. <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d109:HZ00980>
- 22 U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, Summary: 2008 State and Foreign Operations Appropriations as Passed by the House 6/22/07. <http://appropriations.house.gov/pdf/StateFOHP.pdf>
- 23 H.R. 2764, Library of Congress, Thomas. <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d110:h.r.02764>
- 24 H.R. 2977, Library of Congress, Thomas. <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d110:h.r.02977>
- 25 Jon B. Alterman, The Wrong Way to Sway Egypt, *Washington Post*, June 13, 2006. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/06/12/AR2006061201286.html>
- 26 Shadi Hamid, Engaging Political Islam to Promote Democracy, Progressive Policy Institute, June 2007. http://www.ppionline.org/documents/Political_Islam_06272007.pdf
- 27 Text of the Peace Treaty Between Israel and Egypt, Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs. <http://www.israel-mfa.gov.il/MFA/Peace%20Process/Guide%20to%20the%20Peace%20Process/Israel-Egypt%20Peace%20Treaty>